

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/10
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | A | Overall |
| Program Progress: | | | PJs in State: 17 | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 94.92 % | 94.42 % | 8 | 93.55 % | 63 | 61 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 93.74 % | 90.96 % | 6 | 86.03 % | 92 | 87 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 2.23 | 2.92 | 11 | 4.83 | 15 | 28 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 92.68 % | 93.83 % | 15 | 81.34 % | 63 | 46 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 94.61 % | 86.66 % | 2 | 70.65 % | 97 | 91 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 82.13 % | 83.21 % | 15 | 81.57 % | 51 | 44 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 50.94 % | 50.25 % | 9 | 45.66 % | 69 | 60 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 95.20 % | 95.71 % | 13 | 96.25 % | 32 | 27 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 9 / 17 | Nationally: | 81 73 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$37,865 | \$32,349 | | \$27,146 | ##### Units | 93.10 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$22,678 | \$12,864 | | \$15,140 | 715 Units | 6.10 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$10,414 | \$21,938 | | \$20,860 | 100 Units | 0.80 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$0 | \$3,450 | | \$3,210 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (215 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (284 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Chicago IL

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$115,071 | \$171,195 | \$54,934 |
| State:* | \$108,971 | \$86,945 | \$26,135 |
| National:** | \$97,127 | \$76,352 | \$23,684 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| PJ: | 1.9 % |
| National Avg: | 1.2 % |

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.16

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 17.8 | 14.3 | 2.3 | 0.0 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 35.5 | 32.9 | 10.2 | 0.0 |
| Black/African American: | 71.0 | 57.0 | 69.3 | 0.0 | Elderly: | 39.5 | 2.4 | 13.6 | 0.0 |
| Asian: | 0.3 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 19.9 | 38.1 | 40.9 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.4 | 0.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | Related/Two Parent: | 3.3 | 20.7 | 30.7 | 0.0 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 1.5 | 5.9 | 2.3 | 0.0 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.9 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 8.4 | 24.2 | 27.3 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 68.3 | 29.7 | 8.0 | 0.0 | Section 8: | 17.6 | 14.3 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 15.7 | 19.2 | 17.0 | 0.0 | HOME TBRA: | 0.4 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 8.3 | 21.4 | 20.5 | 0.0 | Other: | 18.7 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 4.5 | 17.6 | 28.4 | 0.0 | No Assistance: | 63.3 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 2.2 | 6.7 | 13.6 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 0.8 | 4.1 | 10.2 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.2 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 6 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Chicago State: IL Group Rank: 81
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 9 / 17 PJs Overall Rank: 73
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 74.60% | 92.68 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 52.28% | 94.61 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 82.13 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 91.32% | 95.2 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.030 | 1.2 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

